

Carl Shultz  
717.2555.3742  
cshultz@eckertseamans.com

April 1, 2010

**Via Electronic Filing**

Secretary  
PA Public Utility Commission  
PO Box 3265  
Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265

Re: PA Public Utility Commission v. Philadelphia Gas Works  
Docket Nos. R-2009-2139884 and P-2009-2097639

Dear Secretary:

On behalf of Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") enclosed please find the original of its Motion to Protective and the proposed Protective Order along with the electronic filing confirmation with regard to the above-referenced matter. Copies have been served in accordance with the attached Certificate of Service.

Very truly yours,



Carl Shultz

CS/lww

Enclosure

cc: Hon. Charles Rainey, Esq.  
Cert. of Service w/enc.

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I have this day served a true and correct copy of PGW's Motion for Protective Order upon the participants listed below in accordance with the requirements of § 1.54

(relating to service by a participant):

**VIA E-MAIL &/OR FIRST CLASS MAIL**

Richard A. Kanaskie, Esq.  
Office of Trial Staff  
PA Public Utility Commission  
P.O. Box 3265  
Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265  
E-mail: [rkanaskie@state.pa.us](mailto:rkanaskie@state.pa.us)

William R. Lloyd, Jr., Esq.  
Sharon Webb, Esq.  
Lauren Lepkowski, Esq.  
Office of Small Business Advocate  
Commerce Building, Suite 1102  
300 North 2nd Street  
Harrisburg, PA 17101  
E-mail: [willoyd@state.pa.us](mailto:willoyd@state.pa.us)  
[swebb@state.pa.us](mailto:swebb@state.pa.us)  
[lepkoski@state.pa.us](mailto:lepkoski@state.pa.us)

Darryl Lawrence, Esq.  
Jennedy S. Johnson, Esq.  
Office of Consumer Advocate  
5th Floor, Forum Place Bldg.  
555 Walnut Street  
Harrisburg, PA 17101-1921  
E-mail: [dlawrence@paoca.org](mailto:dlawrence@paoca.org)  
[jjohnson@paoca.org](mailto:jjohnson@paoca.org)

Adam H. Cutler, Esq.  
Public Interest Law Center of Philadelphia  
1709 Benjamin Franklin Parkway, 2<sup>nd</sup> Fl.  
Philadelphia, PA 19103  
[acutler@pilcop.org](mailto:acutler@pilcop.org)

Philip Bertocci, Esq.  
Thu Tran, Esq.  
Community Legal Services  
1424 Chestnut Street  
Philadelphia, PA 19102  
Fax: (215) 981-0434  
E-mail: [pbertocci@clsphila.org](mailto:pbertocci@clsphila.org)  
[ttran@clsphila.org](mailto:ttran@clsphila.org)

Charis Mincavage, Esq.  
Barry Naum, Esq.  
Carl J. Zwick, Esq.  
McNees Wallace Nurick  
100 Pine Street  
PO Box 1166  
Harrisburg, PA 17108-1166  
[cmincavage@mwn.com](mailto:cmincavage@mwn.com)  
[bnaum@mwn.com](mailto:bnaum@mwn.com)  
[czwick@mwn.com](mailto:czwick@mwn.com)

Philip L. Hinerman, Esq.  
Jill Guldin, Esq.  
Robert Clothier, Esq.  
Fox Rothschild LP  
2000 Market St., 10<sup>th</sup> Fl.  
Philadelphia, PA 19103-3291  
[phinerman@foxrothschild.com](mailto:phinerman@foxrothschild.com)  
[jguldin@foxrothschild.com](mailto:jguldin@foxrothschild.com)  
[rclothier@foxrothschild.com](mailto:rclothier@foxrothschild.com)

John F. Povilaitis, Esq.  
Matthew A. Totino, Esq.  
Ryan Russell Ogden & Seltzer P.C.  
800 N. Third St., Suite 101  
Harrisburg, PA 17102-2025  
[jpovilaitis@ryanrussell.com](mailto:jpovilaitis@ryanrussell.com)  
[mtotino@ryanrussell.com](mailto:mtotino@ryanrussell.com)



---

Carl R. Shultz, Esq.

Dated: April 1, 2010

**BEFORE THE  
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

<b>PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION</b>	:	
	:	
v.	:	<b>Docket No. P-2009-2097639</b>
	:	
<b>PHILADELPHIA GAS WORKS</b>	:	
	:	
<b>PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION</b>	:	
	:	
v.	:	<b>Docket No. R-2009-2139884</b>
	:	
<b>PHILADELPHIA GAS WORKS</b>	:	

**MOTION FOR A PROTECTIVE ORDER**

TO ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE CHARLES E. RAINEY, JR.:

Philadelphia Gas Works (“PGW”), by its counsel, hereby moves that a Protective Order be entered in this proceeding pursuant to the provisions of 52 Pa. Code § 5.423(a). In support of this motion, PGW represents as follows:

1. On December 18, 2009, PGW filed a proposed revision to its tariff, Supplement No. 36 to Tariff Gas - Pa. P.U.C. No. 2, that would implement a base rate increase designed to produce \$42.5 million in additional annual revenues. This proceeding was consolidated with PGW’s proposed Five-Year Gas Demand-Side Management (“DSM”) Plan, Docket No. P-2009-2097639.
2. PGW expects that, in the course of this consolidated proceeding, proprietary information within the definition of 52 Pa. Code § 5.423 may be presented or requested that justifies the issuance of a protective order. Some of that information may be of a highly confidential nature.

3. Therefore, treatment of such information as set forth in the attached proposed Protective Order is justified because unrestricted disclosure of such information may not be in the public interest. These considerations constitute cause for the restrictions specified in 52 Pa. Code § 5.423 and in Administrative Law Judge or Commission Orders granting relief pursuant to said regulation.

4. Under 52 Pa. Code §§ 5.362(7) and 5.423, the Office of Administrative Law Judge or the Commission may issue a Protective Order to limit or prohibit disclosure of confidential information where the potential harm to a participant would be substantial and outweighs the public's interest in having access to the confidential information. In applying this standard, relevant factors to be considered include the extent to which disclosure would cause unfair economic or competitive damage; the extent to which the information may already be known by others; and the potential value of such information to the participant and the participant's competitors and trade partners. 52 Pa. Code §§ 5.423(a)(1) – (3).

5. The attached proposed Protective Order will protect confidential information while allowing the parties to use such information for purposes of the instant litigation. Limitation on the disclosure of proprietary information will not prejudice the rights of the participants, nor will such limitation frustrate the prompt and fair resolution of this proceeding. Accordingly, the proposed Protective Order balances the interests of the parties, the public, and the Commission.

WHEREFORE, for all the foregoing reasons, PGW requests that Administrative Law Judge Charles E. Rainey, Jr. grant this Motion and issue the attached Protective Order.

Respectfully submitted,



---

Daniel Clearfield, Esquire  
Kevin J. Moody, Esquire  
Carl R. Shultz, Esquire  
Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC  
213 Market Street, 8th Floor  
Harrisburg, PA 17101  
717.237.6000

Of Counsel:

Abby Pozefsky, Esquire  
Senior Vice President and General Counsel  
Greg Stunder, Esquire  
Assistant General Counsel  
Philadelphia Gas Works  
800 W. Montgomery Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Philadelphia, PA 19122

Attorneys for Philadelphia Gas Works

Date: April 1, 2010

**BEFORE THE  
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

<b>PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION</b>	:	
	:	
	:	
<b>v.</b>	:	<b>Docket No. P-2009-2097639</b>
	:	
<b>PHILADELPHIA GAS WORKS</b>	:	
	:	
<b>PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION</b>	:	
	:	
	:	
<b>v.</b>	:	<b>Docket No. R-2009-2139884</b>
	:	
<b>PHILADELPHIA GAS WORKS</b>	:	

**PROTECTIVE ORDER**

An Order has been issued granting the Motion of Philadelphia Gas Works (“PGW” or “Petitioner”) for a Protective Order,

THEREFORE;

IT IS ORDERED:

1. That a Protective Order is hereby granted with respect to all materials and information identified in Paragraphs 2 and 3 below, which are or will be filed with the Commission, produced in discovery, or otherwise presented during the above-captioned proceeding and all proceedings consolidated with it. All persons now or hereafter granted access to the materials and information identified in Paragraph 2 of this Protective Order shall use and disclose such information only in accordance with this Order.

2. The information subject to this Protective Order is all correspondence, documents, data, information, studies, methodologies and other materials, whether produced or reproduced

or stored on paper, cards, tape, disk, film, electronic facsimile, magnetic or optical memory, computer storage devices or any other devices or media, including, but not limited to, electronic mail (e-mail), furnished in this proceeding that the producing party believes to be of a proprietary or confidential nature and are so designated by being stamped “CONFIDENTIAL” or “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL” protected material. Such materials are referred to in this Order as “Proprietary Information.” When a statement or exhibit is identified for the record, the portions thereof that constitute Proprietary Information shall be designated as such for the record.

3. For purposes of this Protective Order there are two categories of Proprietary Information: “CONFIDENTIAL” and “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL” protected material. A producing party may designate as “CONFIDENTIAL” those materials that are customarily treated by that party as sensitive or proprietary, that are not available to the public, and that, if generally disclosed, would subject that party or its clients to the risk of competitive disadvantage or other business injury. A producing party may designate as “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL” those materials that are of such a commercially sensitive nature, relative to the business interests of parties to this proceeding, or of such a private or personal nature, that the producing party is able to justify a heightened level of confidential protection with respect to those materials. The parties shall endeavor to limit the information designated as “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL” protected material.

4. Subject to the terms of this Protective Order, Proprietary Information shall be provided to counsel for a party who meets the criteria of a “Reviewing Representative” as set forth below. Such counsel shall use or disclose the Proprietary Information only for purposes of preparing or presenting evidence, testimony, cross examination or argument in this proceeding. To the extent required for participation in this proceeding, such counsel may allow others to have

access to Proprietary Information only in accordance with the conditions and limitations set forth in this Protective Order.

5. Information deemed “CONFIDENTIAL” shall be provided to a “Reviewing Representative.” For purposes of “CONFIDENTIAL” Proprietary Information, a “Reviewing Representative” is a person who has signed a Non-Disclosure Certificate and is:

- a. An attorney for a public advocate pursuant to 52 Pa. Code § 1.8 or an attorney who has formally entered an appearance in this proceeding on behalf of a party;
- b. An attorney, paralegal, or other employee associated for purposes of this case with an attorney described in subparagraph (a) above;
- c. An expert or an employee of an expert retained by a party for the purpose of advising that party or testifying in this proceeding on behalf of that party; or
- d. Employees or other representatives of a party to this proceeding who have significant responsibility for developing or presenting the party’s positions in this docket.

6. Information deemed “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL” protected material shall be provided to a Reviewing Representative, provided, however that a Reviewing Representative, for purposes of “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL” protected material, is limited to a person who has signed a Non-Disclosure Certificate and is:

- a. An attorney for a public advocate pursuant to 52 Pa. Code § 1.8 or an attorney who has formally entered an appearance in this proceeding on behalf of a party;
- b. An attorney, paralegal, or other employee associated for purposes of this case with an attorney described in subparagraph (a);
- c. An outside expert or an employee of an outside expert retained by a party for the purposes of advising that party or testifying in this proceeding on behalf of that party; or
- d. A person designated as a Reviewing Representative for purposes of HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL protected material pursuant to paragraph 11.

Provided, further, that in accordance with the provisions of Sections 5.362 and 5.431(e) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (52 Pa. Code §§ 5.362, 5.431(e)) any party may, by objection or motion, seek further protection with respect to HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL protected material, including, but not limited to, total prohibition of disclosure or limitation of disclosure only to particular parties.

7. For purposes of this Protective Order, a Reviewing Representative may not be a "Restricted Person" absent agreement of the party producing the Proprietary Information. A "Restricted Person" shall mean: (a) an officer, director, stockholder, partner, or owner of any competitor of the parties or an employee of such an entity if the employee's duties involve marketing or pricing of the competitor's products or services or advising another person who has such duties; (b) an officer, director, stockholder, partner, or owner of any affiliate of a competitor of the parties (including any association of competitors of the parties) or an employee of such an entity if the employee's duties involve marketing or pricing of the competitor's products or services or advising another person who has such duties; (c) an officer, director, stockholder, owner, agent or employee of a competitor of a customer of the parties or of a competitor of a vendor of the parties if the Proprietary Information concerns a specific, identifiable customer or vendor of the parties; and (d) an officer, director, stockholder, owner or employee of an affiliate of a competitor of a customer of the parties if the Proprietary Information concerns a specific, identifiable customer of the parties; provided, however, that no expert shall be disqualified on account of being a stockholder, partner, or owner unless that expert's interest in the business would provide a significant motive for violating the limitations of permissible use of the Proprietary Information. For purposes of this Protective Order, stocks, partnership or other

ownership interests valued at more than \$10,000 or constituting more than a 1% interest in a business establish a significant motive for violation.

8. If an expert for a party, another member of the expert's firm or the expert's firm generally also serves as an expert for, or as a consultant or advisor to, a Restricted Person, that expert must: (1) identify for the parties each Restricted Person and all personnel in or associated with the expert's firm that work on behalf of the Restricted Person; (2) take all reasonable steps to segregate those personnel assisting in the expert's participation in this proceeding from those personnel working on behalf of a Restricted Person; and (3) if segregation of such personnel is impractical, the expert shall give to the producing party written assurances that the lack of segregation will in no way adversely affect the interests of the parties or their customers. The parties retain the right to challenge the adequacy of the written assurances that the parties' or their customers' interests will not be adversely affected. No other persons may have access to the Proprietary Information except as authorized by order of the Commission.

9. Reviewing Representatives qualified to receive "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL" protected material may discuss HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL protected material with their client or with the entity with which they are employed or associated, to the extent that the client or entity is not a "Restricted Person," but may not share with, or permit the client or entity to review or have access to, the HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL protected material.

10. Proprietary Information shall be treated by the parties and by the Reviewing Representative in accordance with the terms of this Protective Order, which are hereby expressly incorporated into the certificate that must be executed pursuant to Paragraph 12(a). Proprietary Information shall be used as necessary, for the conduct of this proceeding and for no other

purpose. Proprietary Information shall not be disclosed in any manner to any person except a Reviewing Representative who is engaged in the conduct of this proceeding and who needs to know the information in order to carry out that person's responsibilities in this proceeding.

11. Reviewing Representatives may not use anything contained in any Proprietary Information obtained through this proceeding to give any party or any competitor of any party a commercial advantage. In the event that a party wishes to designate as a Reviewing Representative a person not described in paragraph 6 (i) through (iii) above, the party must first seek agreement to do so from the party providing the Proprietary Information. If an agreement is reached, the designated individual shall be a Reviewing Representative pursuant to Paragraph 6 (iv) above with respect to those materials. If no agreement is reached, the party seeking to have a person designated a Reviewing Representative shall submit the disputed designation to the presiding Administrative Law Judge for resolution.

12. A Reviewing Representative shall not be permitted to inspect, participate in discussions regarding, or otherwise be permitted access to Proprietary Information pursuant to this Protective Order unless that Reviewing Representative has first executed a Non-Disclosure Certificate in the form provided in Appendix A, provided, however, that if an attorney or expert qualified as a Reviewing Representative has executed such a certificate, the paralegals, secretarial and clerical personnel under his or her instruction, supervision or control need not do so. A copy of each executed Non-Disclosure Certificate shall be provided to counsel for the party asserting confidentiality prior to disclosure of any Proprietary Information to that Reviewing Representative. Attorneys and outside experts qualified as Reviewing Representatives are responsible for ensuring that persons under their supervision or control comply with the Protective Order.

13. The parties shall designate data or documents as constituting or containing Proprietary Information by stamping the documents “CONFIDENTIAL” or “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL” protected material. Where only part of data compilations or multi-page documents constitutes or contains Proprietary Information, the parties, insofar as reasonably practicable within discovery and other time constraints imposed in this proceeding, shall designate only the specific data or pages of documents which constitute or contain Proprietary Information.

14. The Commission and all parties, including the statutory advocates and any other agency or department of state government will consider and treat the Proprietary Information as within the exemptions from disclosure provided in the Pennsylvania Right-to-Know Act (65 P.S. § 67.101 *et seq.*) until such time as the information is found to be non-proprietary.

15. Any public reference to Proprietary Information by a party or its Reviewing Representatives shall be to the title or exhibit reference in sufficient detail to permit persons with access to the Proprietary Information to understand fully the reference and not more. The Proprietary Information shall remain a part of the record, to the extent admitted, for all purposes of administrative or judicial review.

16. Part of any record of this proceeding containing Proprietary Information, including but not limited to all exhibits, writings, testimony, cross examination, argument, and responses to discovery, and including reference thereto as mentioned in paragraph 15 above, shall be sealed for all purposes, including administrative and judicial review, unless such Proprietary Information is released from the restrictions of this Protective Order, either through

the agreement of the parties to this proceeding or pursuant to an order of the Commission or a Court with jurisdiction over such matters.

17. The parties shall retain the right to question or challenge the confidential or proprietary nature of Proprietary Information and to question or challenge the admissibility of Proprietary Information. If a party challenges the designation of a document or information as proprietary, the party providing the information retains the burden of demonstrating that the designation is appropriate.

18. The parties shall retain the right to object to the production of Proprietary Information on any proper ground, and to refuse to produce Proprietary Information pending the adjudication of the objection.

19. Within 30 days after a Commission final order is entered in the above-captioned proceeding, or in the event of appeals, within thirty days after appeals are finally decided, the receiving party, upon request, shall either destroy or return to the parties all copies of all documents and other materials not entered into the record, including notes, which contain any Proprietary Information. In the event that the party elects to destroy all copies of documents and other materials containing Proprietary Information instead of returning the copies of documents and other materials containing Proprietary Information to the parties, upon request, the party shall certify in writing to the other Party that the Proprietary Information has been destroyed.

Date: April , 2010

---

Charles E. Rainey, Jr.  
Administrative Law Judge

**APPENDIX A**  
**BEFORE THE**  
**PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

**PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC** :  
**UTILITY COMMISSION** :  
 :  
 v. : **Docket No. P-2009-2097639**  
 :  
**PHILADELPHIA GAS WORKS** :

**PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC** :  
**UTILITY COMMISSION** :  
 :  
 v. : **Docket No. R-2009-2139884**  
 :  
**PHILADELPHIA GAS WORKS** :

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

The undersigned is the \_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_\_ (the receiving party).

The undersigned has read and understands the Protective Order deals with the treatment of Proprietary Information. The undersigned agrees to be bound by, and comply with, the terms and conditions of said Order, which are incorporated herein by reference.

\_\_\_\_\_  
SIGNATURE

\_\_\_\_\_  
PRINT NAME

\_\_\_\_\_  
ADDRESS

\_\_\_\_\_  
EMPLOYER

DATE: \_\_\_\_\_